Sergei KALEDIN
Xu Hui

CASE ANALYSIS OF CHINA AFFECTED BY INTERNATIONAL TRADE BARRIERS

Сергей Каледин

CASE ANALYSIS OF CHINA AFFECTED BY INTERNATIONAL TRADE BARRIERS

https://litres.ru/74728198
SelfPub; 2026

Аннотация

Today, economic globalization has become an irreversible trend in world economic development. Economic globalization requires production internationalization, trade liberalization and financial globalization. However, the advancement of economic globalization is carried out under a sound market economy mechanism, and its development is also a gradual process. The emergence and strengthening of trade barriers in this process is not accidental. It is the product of the continuous development of international economy, society, and technology. This article will take China as an example to introduce the impact of international trade on a country and put forward suggestions for China to improve the efficiency of foreign trade under the influence of international trade barriers.

Сергей Каледин

CASE ANALYSIS OF CHINA AFFECTED BY INTERNATIONAL TRADE BARRIERS

The U.S. imposes additional steel tariffs on China

1. Import and export status of Chinese steel products to the United States

Figure 1 shows the import and export status of China's steel products to the United States from 2000 to 2017. It can be seen from the figure that since 2000, China's steel exports to the United States have increased in a wave-like manner. After China's accession to the WTO in 2001, the trade between China and the United States has developed. U.S. exports grew rapidly and reached a maximum of 2.63 billion U.S. dollars in 2008. However, after the financial crisis, Chinese steel products exports to the

U.S. were hit, and they dropped directly from 2.63 billion U.S. dollars to 430 million

U.S. dollars. In 2014, China's export quota of steel products to the United States returned to the level before the financial crisis. After 2014, China's exports of steel products to the United

States have fallen year after year. In 2017, China's exports of steel products to the United States were only 590 million U.S. dollars. At this stage, the decline in export quotas is consistent with China's facing the United States.

The increase in various trade frictions is not unrelated. The trade volume of steel products imported by China from the United States has also risen in waves since 2000, reaching a maximum of US$2.04 billion in 2009. After 2009, the import quota continued to decline. In 2017, China's trade in steel products imported from the United States was only 370 million U.S. dollars.




Figure 1- The import and export situation of China's steel products to the U.S. from 2000 to 2017

[Source]: United Nations International Trade Statistics Database

Table 1 shows the export situation of China's steel products in 2017. In 2017, China's total export of steel was approximately US$43 billion, most of which were exported to Asian countries, of which the total export of steel products to the United States was US$598 million, accounting for 1.39%, an increase of 11.3% compared to 2016: Steel The export volume of China has increased by approximately US$61 million. In 2016, China's total steel exports were approximately US$43.2 billion, and exports to the United States totaled US$537 million. It can be seen that China's steel exports to the United States account for only a small part of China's total steel exports.

In 2017, China's total merchandise sales to the United States totaled 430 billion

dollars, and China's steel exports to the United States accounted for 0.139% of total merchandise exports. Compared with 2016, the share of steel in China's total exports to the United States has remained basically unchanged. In 2016, China's cumulative exports of all commodities to the United States were US$385 billion, and steel products accounted for 0.139% of the total. In Sino-US trade, steel products also account for only a small part of China's total export merchandise trade volume with the United States.

Table 1- China's steel product exports in 2017

country	Export amount (unit: $)	The proportion
Korea	6,760,410,451	16%
Vietnam	4,336,414,228	10%
Thailand	2,006,658,485	5%
Philippines	1,944,788,426	4%
Indonesia	1,704,107,855	4%
India	1,509,528,457	3%
Pakistan	1,176,651,686	3%
Malaysia	1,086,273,385	3%
country	Export amount (unit: $)	The proportion
Japan	983,144,738	2%
Italy	971,755,360	2%
Other countries	20,504,500,121	48%
total	42,984,233,192	100%

2. The impact of increased steel tariffs imposed by the United States on China's economy

A. Explanation of the GTAP model

GTAP (Global Trade Analysis Project) is a computable general equilibrium analysis tool developed by Purdue University. In addition to considering the problems of traditional CGE model research, the GTAP model can also analyze various possible effects of international trade policy shocks.

The GTAP model is mainly composed of two parts, one is the model main program, and the other is the database. The main

program of the model is based on the relevant equations set by the neoclassical economic theory, and the model calculates the data through these equations.

The database provides support for the operation of the main program in the model, and mainly contains the main data of the economic operation of the country or region in the model and foreign trade data. The main program starts with production, sets input- output functions for each country and analyzes them according to the functions. Government departments, private sectors, and producers in different countries have their own production functions or demand functions, and the balance of funds is determined by investment And deposit decision.

The model has an independent model, supporting software and database. When using the GTAP model to simulate the impact of one or more Policy Shocks, the change value of the policy is reset under the initial equilibrium state of the market, and the internal equilibrium of the model is reached again after calculation. By analyzing the data changes of the endogenous variables in the initial state and the new equilibrium state, we can get what kind of impact the economic policy changes have on economic activities.

As a comparatively static model, GTAP has two premises, one is a perfectly competitive market, and the other is that the return to scale of production remains unchanged. Under this premise, producers seek to minimize production costs and consumers seek to maximize consumption utility. Product markets and factor

markets must be cleared. In equilibrium, the value of the model's endogenous variables is determined by total demand and total supply.

The model also has the following assumptions:

1. There are five production factors in the model: land, capital, natural resources, skilled labor, and unskilled labor; three subjects: private households, government, and manufacturers;

2. The production function is a fixed elasticity substitution function (CES), and the consumption function is a fixed elasticity of difference (CDE) function;

3. Land cannot move between departments, and labor can move freely within the country;

4. Obeying the Armington hypothesis, imported goods and domestic goods cannot be completely replaced, and links between various countries are established through commodity trade and capital flows. Setting of simulation conditions

After the United States imposes an undifferentiated 25% tariff on imported steel products, it will affect the interests of US trading partners and will be resisted. For example, after the United States imposed tariffs on steel, the European Union also followed up in order to prevent the occurrence of re-export trade. A 25% tariff is imposed on steel products indiscriminately.

Mexico has also imposed tariffs on American agricultural products in retaliation for the increase in taxes by the United States, while Canada has imposed additional tariffs on American steel products. China subsequently announced additional tariffs

on American agricultural products. Therefore, when setting the simulation conditions, the reaction of other countries after the United States imposed a 25% tariff on steel products will be considered, and the impact of different policy changes on the Chinese economy will be explored, which can be divided into the following three situations:

Scenario 1: The United States imposes a 25% tariff on steel products, of which Canada and Mexico are exempted;

Scenario 2: After the expiration of the exemption period in Canada and Mexico, the United States imposes a 25% tariff on steel products from all countries. The European Union follows the United States and also imposes a 25% tariff on steel products. Canada and Mexico retaliate after the exemption period expires. , Canada imposes a 25% tariff on American steel products, and Mexico imposes a 20% tariff on American agricultural products;

Scenario 3: The United States and the European Union impose 25% tariffs on steel products, Canada imposes 25% tariffs on American steel products, and Mexico and China both impose 20% tariffs on American agricultural products.

B. The impact of the US's additional steel tariffs on China's macro economy

Table 2 The impact of the US's additional steel tariffs on GDP and terms of trade in three situations

Country/indicator changes	GDP（%）			Terms of trade		
	Scenario 1	Scenario2	Scene 3	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scene 3
United States	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.07	0.05	-0.09
United States	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.07	0.05	-0.09
Canada	0.01	-0.04	-0.03	0.09	-0.03	0.00
Mexico	0.00	-0.02	-0.02	0.06	0.03	0.04
European Union	0.00	-0.05	-0.05	-0.01	0.02	0.03
China	0.00	0.00	-0.02	-0.01	0.01	0.05
other areas	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.01	-0.03	-0.01

[Source]: After calculation by RunGTAP software

Table 3 The impact of the increase in steel tariffs by the United States on the trade balance and social welfare of countries in the three situations

Country/indicator changes	Trade balance			Social Welfare		
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scene 3	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scene 3
United States	12.72	-4.28	-33.99	-4.53	-9.61	-38.32
Canada	-1.75	0.58	-1.20	6.23	-8.52	-6.55
Mexico	-1.69	-0.27	-1.20	2.79	-1.11	-0.29
European Union	-5.69	92.39	78.91	-5.74	-57.83	-53.53
China	-2.06	-27.36	-19.89	-5.91	0.19	-13.67
other areas	-1.52	-61.07	-90.60	-22.31	-27.65	-5.67

[Source]: After calculation by RunGTAP software

It can be seen from Table 2 and Table 3 that in the simulation results, scenario 1 is that the United States imposes 25% tariffs on imported steel products and Canada and Mexico are

exempted: the United States' GDP decreases by 0.01%, and Canada's GDP level increases by 0.01 %, the GDP of other countries has not changed significantly; in terms of trade terms, the United States has improved, an increase of 0.07%, Canada and Mexico have been exempted, and their terms of trade have also improved, increasing by 0.09% and 0.06%, respectively.

After China suffered a 25% impact on steel tariffs, its terms of trade deteriorated by 0.01%. In terms of trade balance, after the United States imposed tariff barriers, the trade balance turned into a surplus of US$1.272 billion. Other countries have It has become a deficit. The largest change is the EU, with an amount of -569 million U.S. dollars, and China's trade deficit of 206 million U.S. dollars. In terms of social welfare, although the United States has achieved a trade balance surplus, social welfare has lost. The social welfare of Canada and Mexico increased by US$623 million and US$279 million, respectively, due to the exemption rights, while the social welfare of China and the European Union suffered losses. China's social welfare losses were the largest at US$591 million.

In Scenario 2, that is, after the expiration of Canada and Mexico's exemptions, retaliation occurs against the United States, and the EU also imposes a 25% steel tariff: in terms of GDP levels, Canada, Mexico, and the EU are different from those in Scenario 1. In terms of trade terms, compared with scenario 1, only China and the European Union have improved, with an increase of 0.03% respectively. %, 0.02%, the United

States, Canada, and Mexico have reduced to varying degrees. Among them, Canada has the largest decrease, with a decrease of 0.12%. In terms of trade balance, after the countermeasures implemented by Canada, Mexico, and the European Union, the trade balance has As a result, the EU experienced the largest increase from its original trade deficit of US$569 million to a surplus of US$9.239 billion, an increase of US$9.808 billion.

After the United States was countered, the trade balance changed from the original surplus of US$1.272 billion to a deficit. 428 million U.S. dollars. In the trade war that other countries sanctioned each other, China's trade balance continued to decline, and the deficit became larger and larger, reaching 2.736 billion U.S. dollars. In terms of social welfare, China has gained social welfare because it did not participate in the trade war. The slight improvement has changed from a loss of US$574 million to US$19 million in social welfare.

The social welfare of the rest of the country has all suffered greater losses, of which the European Union's loss reached a maximum of US$5.783 billion. In Scenario 3, the EU, Canada, and Mexico implement countermeasures against the United States, and China imposes tariffs on agricultural products imported from the United States: In terms of GDP, China's GDP level has dropped by 0.02%, and Canada's GDP level has increased compared to Scenario 2. In terms of terms of trade, China's terms of trade have been improved from 0.01% to 0.05%, while the US's terms of trade have deteriorated

from 0.05% in Scenario II. It was reduced to -0.09%, and the rate of decrease reached 0.14%. Canada, the European Union, and Mexico have improved slightly; in terms of trade balance, China's trade deficit has improved, from US$2.736 billion in Scenario II to US$1.989.

The trade balance of other countries has deteriorated to varying degrees. Among them, the United States has the largest change, from -428 million US dollars in scenario 2 to -3.399 billion US dollars, followed by the European Union, from 9.239 billion US dollars in scenario 2 to 3.399 billion US dollars. In terms of social welfare, both China and the United States have suffered greater social welfare losses. China's social welfare has increased from an increase of 19 million US dollars to a loss of 1.367 billion US dollars. The loss of social welfare increased from US$961 million in Scenario 2 to US$3.832 billion. The social welfare of other countries has increased. The EU has increased by US$450 million, while Mexico and Canada have a small increase.

Under the circumstances that the United States imposed a 25% steel tariff and exempted Canada and Mexico, China's terms of trade deteriorated, the trade balance turned into a deficit, and social welfare suffered, but the loss at this time was not significant. The unilateral trade policy of the United States has improved its own terms of trade, and its trade balance has also turned into a surplus. Although it has lost a small part of its social welfare, it has generally benefited. Canada and Mexico

have been exempted. In addition to generating a trade deficit of more than 100 million U.S. dollars, their terms of trade and social welfare have been improved, making them the two countries that have benefited the most.

The EU has produced a trade deficit of more than 500 million U.S. dollars and social welfare losses, and suffered the most. It can be seen that the United States, which imposed tariff barriers, and the exempted Canada and Mexico have gained benefits, but their benefits have been gained on the basis of the losses suffered by China and the European Union.

After the European Union, Mexico, and Canada started the trade war with retaliatory actions, China's terms of trade and social welfare have been slightly improved, but the trade balance has aggravated the degree of trade deficit. After the United States suffered countermeasures, all the benefits it had gained before have been lost. The terms of trade and trade balance of Canada, the European Union, and Mexico that participated in the countermeasures have improved, but their GDP levels have all declined, and social welfare losses have increased.

Конец ознакомительного фрагмента.

Текст предоставлен ООО «Литрес».

Прочитайте эту книгу целиком, купив полную легальную версию на Литрес.

Безопасно оплатить книгу можно банковской картой Visa, MasterCard, Maestro, со счета мобильного телефона, с платежного терминала, в салоне МТС или Связной, через PayPal, WebMoney, Яндекс.Деньги, QIWI Кошелек, бонусными картами или другим удобным Вам способом.